

Evaluation Instrument to Be Used for Judging Poster Presentations

JUDGE # _____

Poster # _____

This poster fits under which Symposium Topic Area:

1. Family, Youth, Community and Economic Development
2. Food Safety, Nutrition, and Health
3. Agricultural Systems and Technology
4. Plant Health and Production and Plant Products
5. Animal Health and Production and Animal Products
6. Bioenergy, Natural Resources, and Environment

Presenter's Name _____

Poster Title

University _____

Evaluation Score _____

I. Content (60%)

_____ 1. **(5) Title** (Does the title support and is it aligned with the objectives and conclusions of the work?)

_____ 2. **(20) Abstract** (Is the abstract a comprehensive summary that effectively reflects the content of the manuscript?)

_____ 3. **(20) Introduction, statement of purpose** (Does the introduction give sufficient background to educate the reader and does it justify the need for the work? Is the cited literature current and relevant? Are objectives/hypotheses clearly defined?)

_____ 4. **(15) Materials and Methods** (Is methodology appropriate, reliable, thorough?)

_____ 5. **(40) Results and Discussion** (Are results made clear? Are tables/charts/photos/figures clear summaries of the work? Does the Discussion adequately explain to the reader what the results mean in terms of addressing the research objectives/hypotheses?)

_____ 6. **(20) Conclusion** (Are conclusions aligned with and effectively explain the results? Does the conclusion lead the reader to recognize the importance of the work?)

_____ (120) **Content Subtotal**

II. Presentation (40%)

_____ 1 **(15)** Use of headings and strong visual focus areas that attract readers

_____ 2 **(15)** Flow (easy to follow) and readability

_____ 3 **(15)** Quality of figures, tables and photos

_____ 4 **(15)** Overall appearance

_____ 5 **(20)** Confidence and knowledge articulating the research

_____ (80) **Presentation Subtotal**

(200) Competitive Poster Grand Total

Evaluation Instrument to Be Used for Judging Poster Presentations

JUDGE # _____

Poster # _____

Name of Presenter _____

Quality of Content	Maximum of 120 points (60%)
<p><u>Title (maximum of 5 points):</u> (Is it aligned with the objectives and conclusions of the work?)</p>	
<p><u>Abstract (maximum of 20 points):</u> (Is it a comprehensive summary that effectively reflects the content of the manuscript?)</p>	
<p><u>Introduction, statement of purpose (maximum of 20 points):</u> (Is sufficient background given to justify the need for this work; is the cited literature current and relevant; are objectives/hypotheses clearly defined?)</p>	
<p><u>Materials and Methods (maximum of 15 points):</u> (Is methodology appropriate, reliable, thorough?)</p>	
<p><u>Results and Discussion (maximum of 40 points):</u> (Are results clear? Are tables/charts/photos/figures clear summaries of the work? Does the Discussion adequately explain the results and address the research objectives/hypotheses?)</p>	
<p><u>Conclusion (maximum of 20 points):</u> (Are conclusions aligned with results and effectively explained? Does the conclusion explain the importance of the work?)</p>	
Presentation	Maximum of 80 points (40%)
<p><u>Use of headings (maximum of 15 points):</u> (Is there a strong visual focus to attract readers?)</p>	
<p><u>Flow (maximum of 15 points):</u> (Easy to follow?)</p>	
<p><u>Quality of figures, tables and photos (maximum of 15 points):</u></p>	
<p><u>Overall appearance (maximum of 15 points):</u></p>	
<p><u>Confidence and knowledge displayed in articulating the research (maximum of 20 points):</u></p>	
<p>COMPETITIVE POSTER GRAND TOTAL (Maximum of 200 points) Please add all columns and enter total</p>	