

## Evaluation Instrument to Be Used for Judging Oral Presentations

JUDGE # \_\_\_\_\_

Oral Presentation # \_\_\_\_\_

The oral presentation fits under which Symposium Topic Area:

1. Family, Youth, Community and Economic Development  
 2. Food Safety, Nutrition, and Health  
 3. Agricultural Systems and Technology  
 4. Plant Health and Production and Plant Products  
 5. Animal Health and Production and Animal Products  
 6. Bioenergy, Natural Resources, and Environment

Presenter's Name \_\_\_\_\_

Presentation Title \_\_\_\_\_

University \_\_\_\_\_

Evaluation Score \_\_\_\_\_

### I. Quality of Research Presented (70%)

\_\_\_\_\_ 1. (30) **Introduction, statement of purpose** (Does the introduction give sufficient background to educate the audience and does it justify the need for the work; are objectives/hypothesis clearly defined?)

\_\_\_\_\_ 2. (20) **Materials and Methods** (Is methodology appropriate, reliable, thorough?)

\_\_\_\_\_ 3. (40) **Results and Discussion** (Are results made clear? Are tables/charts/figures/photos clear summaries of the work? Does the Discussion adequately explain to the audience what the results mean in terms of addressing the research objectives/hypothesis?)

\_\_\_\_\_ 4. (30) **Conclusion** (Are conclusions aligned with and effectively explain the results? Does the conclusion lead the reader to recognize the importance of the work?)

\_\_\_\_\_ 5. (20) **Is the work unique and interesting?**

\_\_\_\_\_ (140) **Content Presentation Subtotal**

### II. Oral Presentation (30%) Delivery

\_\_\_\_\_ 1. (10) **Effective use of time**

\_\_\_\_\_ 2. (10) **Clarity and intonation**

\_\_\_\_\_ 3. (20) **Use and quality of visual aids**

\_\_\_\_\_ 4. (20) **Confidence and knowledge of subject matter**

\_\_\_\_\_ (60) **Presentation Subtotal**

(200) Competitive Oral Paper Grand Total

The Presenter would appreciate your comments, i.e. pointers on how to improve his/her presentation in the future or what were the most commendable features of the presentation. Please provide these helpful comments on the back of the evaluation form. Thank you.

## Evaluation Instrument to Be Used for Judging Oral Presentations

JUDGE# \_\_\_\_\_

Presentation # \_\_\_\_\_

Name of Presenter \_\_\_\_\_

Quality of Research Presented	Maximum of 140 points (70%)
<b><u>Introduction, statement of purpose (maximum of 30 points):</u></b> (Does the introduction give sufficient background to educate the audience and does it justify the need for the work; are objectives/hypothesis clearly defined?)	
<b>Materials and Methods (maximum of 20 points):</b> (Is methodology appropriate, reliable, thorough?)	
<b><u>Results and Discussion (maximum of 40 points):</u></b> (Are results made clear? Are tables/charts/figures/photos clear summaries of the work? Does the Discussion adequately explain to the audience what the results mean in terms of addressing the research objectives/hypothesis?)	
<b><u>Conclusion (maximum of 30 points):</u></b> (Are conclusions aligned with and effectively explain the results? Does the conclusion lead the reader to recognize the importance of the work?)	
<b><u>Is the work unique and interesting? (maximum of 20 points)</u></b>	
<b>Oral Presentation, Delivery</b>	<b>Maximum of 60 points (30%)</b>
<b><u>Effective use of time (maximum of 10 points)</u></b>	
<b><u>Clarity and intonation (maximum of 10 points)</u></b>	
<b><u>Use and quality of visual aids (maximum of 20 points)</u></b>	
<b><u>Confidence and knowledge of subject matter (maximum of 20 points)</u></b>	
<b>COMPETITIVE ORAL PRESENTATION GRAND TOTAL (Maximum of 200 points)</b> <b>Please add all columns and enter total</b>	

Please provide comments on back on how the presenter could have improved his/her presentation or specific commendations.