

Evaluation Instrument to Be Used for Judging Poster Presentations

JUDGE # _____

Poster # _____

This poster fits under which Symposium Topic Area:

1. _____ Family, Youth, Community and Economic Development
2. _____ Food Safety, Nutrition, Health, and Health Disparities
3. _____ Renewable Energy, Natural Resources, Environment and Climate Change
4. _____ Plant Health and Production and Plant Products
5. _____ Animal Health and Production and Animal Products

Presenter's Name _____

Poster Title

University _____

Evaluation Score _____

I. Content (60%)

_____ 1. **(5) Title** (Does the title support and is it aligned with the objectives and conclusions of the work?)

_____ 2. **(20) Abstract** (Is the abstract a comprehensive summary that effectively reflects the content of the manuscript?)

_____ 3. **(20) Introduction, statement of purpose** (Does the introduction give sufficient background to educate the reader and does it justify the need for the work? Is the cited literature current and relevant? Are objectives/hypotheses clearly defined?)

_____ 4. **(15) Materials and Methods** (Is methodology appropriate, reliable, thorough?)

_____ 5. **(40) Results and Discussion** (Are results made clear? Are tables/charts/photos/figures clear summaries of the work? Does the Discussion adequately explain to the reader what the results mean in terms of addressing the research objectives/hypotheses?)

_____ 6. **(20) Conclusion** (Are conclusions aligned with and effectively explain the results? Does the conclusion lead the reader to recognize the importance of the work?)

_____ (120) **Content Subtotal**

II. Presentation (40%)

_____ 1 **(15)** Use of headings and strong visual focus areas that attract readers

_____ 2 **(15)** Flow (easy to follow) and readability

_____ 3 **(15)** Quality of figures, tables and photos

_____ 4 **(15)** Overall appearance

_____ 5 **(20)** Confidence and knowledge articulating the research

_____ (80) **Presentation Subtotal**

(200) Competitive Poster Grand Total

Evaluation Instrument to Be Used for Judging Poster Presentations

JUDGE # _____

Poster # _____

Name of Presenter _____

Quality of Content	Maximum of 120 points (60%)
<p><u>Title (maximum of 5 points):</u> (Is it aligned with the objectives and conclusions of the work?)</p>	
<p><u>Abstract (maximum of 20 points):</u> (Is it a comprehensive summary that effectively reflects the content of the manuscript?)</p>	
<p><u>Introduction, statement of purpose (maximum of 20 points):</u> (Is sufficient background given to justify the need for this work; is the cited literature current and relevant; are objectives/hypotheses clearly defined?)</p>	
<p><u>Materials and Methods (maximum of 15 points):</u> (Is methodology appropriate, reliable, thorough?)</p>	
<p><u>Results and Discussion (maximum of 40 points):</u> (Are results clear? Are tables/charts/photos/figures clear summaries of the work? Does the Discussion adequately explain the results and address the research objectives/hypotheses?)</p>	
<p><u>Conclusion (maximum of 20 points):</u> (Are conclusions aligned with results and effectively explained? Does the conclusion explain the importance of the work?)</p>	
Presentation	Maximum of 80 points (40%)
<p><u>Use of headings (maximum of 15 points):</u> (Is there a strong visual focus to attract readers?)</p>	
<p><u>Flow (maximum of 15 points):</u> (Easy to follow?)</p>	
<p><u>Quality of figures, tables and photos (maximum of 15 points):</u></p>	
<p><u>Overall appearance (maximum of 15 points):</u></p>	
<p><u>Confidence and knowledge displayed in articulating the research (maximum of 20 points):</u></p>	
<p>COMPETITIVE POSTER GRAND TOTAL (Maximum of 200 points) Please add all columns and enter total</p>	